

ON POSITIVE DEFINITE PRESERVING LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS OF RANK r ON REAL SYMMETRIC MATRICES

DOAN THE HIEU^{1*} AND -HUYNH DINH TUAN²

ABSTRACT. We study on what conditions on B_k , a linear transformation of rank r

$$T(A) = \sum_{k=1}^r \text{tr}(AB_k)U_k \quad (0.1)$$

where U_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ are linear independent and all positive definite; is positive definite preserving. We give some first results for this question. For the case of rank one and two, the necessary and sufficient conditions are given. We also give some sufficient conditions for the case of rank r .

1. INTRODUCTION

One of active topics in linear algebra is the linear preserver problems (LPPs) involving linear transformations on matrix space that have special properties: leaving some functions, subsets, relations ... invariant. For more details about LPPs: the history, the results and open problems we refer the reader to [2], [4], [5], [8], and references therein.

On real symmetric or complex Hermitian matrices, the LPP of positive definiteness is still open and seems to be complicated. In [10], we solved this problem on real symmetric matrices with some additional assumptions.

In this paper, we consider this problem on real symmetric matrices based on the rank of linear transformations. It is showed that a linear transformation T of rank r preserving positive definiteness can be expressed in the following form

$$T(A) = \sum_{k=1}^r \text{tr}(AB_k)U_k$$

where U_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ are linear independent and all positive definite and B_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ are all symmetric.

Of course, any linear transformation of form (0.1) may be not positive definite preserving in general. We address the question on what conditions on B_k , T of form (0.1) is positive definite preserving and give some first results. For the case of rank one and two, the necessary and sufficient conditions are given. We also give some sufficient conditions for the case of rank r .

Date: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A86; Secondary 15A18, 15A04.

Key words and phrases. Linear preserver problems, Symmetric matrix, Positive definite.

2. SOME BASIC LEMMAS

Lemma 2.1. *For any $A \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$ of rank r , there exists linear independent (pair-wise orthogonal) vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r$ such that $A = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^t$, $k_i \in \{-1, 1\}$. Moreover if A is positive semi-definite, then $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^t$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $B \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$.*

- (1) *If B is non-zero positive semi-definite, then for every positive definite matrix $A \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\text{tr}(AB) > 0$.*
- (2) *If B is not positive semi-definite, then there exists positive definite matrix $A \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\text{tr}(AB) < 0$.*

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.1, $A = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^t$, $B = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i y_i^t$, where $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\}$ are systems of linear independent vectors. Then, $AB = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^t \sum_{i=1}^r y_i y_i^t = \sum_{i,j} x_i x_i^t y_j y_j^t$. It is not hard to check that

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{i,j} \text{tr}(x_i x_i^t y_j y_j^t) = \sum_{i,j} \langle x_i, y_j \rangle^2 \geq 0.$$

Since $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^n , we have $\text{tr}(AB) > 0$.

- (2) Suppose $QBQ^t = D = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$, where Q is an orthogonal matrix. Since B is not positive semi-definite, there exists a diagonal matrix $C > 0$, such that $\text{tr}(CD) < 0$ and let $A = Q^t C Q$. Obviously, $A > 0$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(DC) < 0$.

□

The following lemma is well-known in the literature of the theory of quadratic forms.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$ be positive definite and $B \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists an invertible matrix W , such that $WAW^t = I_n$ and $WBW^t = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$.*

Remark 2.4. Since $WA = (W^t)^{-1}$, $(W^t)^{-1}(A^{-1}B)W^t = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$. Thus, $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ are eigenvalues of $A^{-1}B$.

3. POSITIVE DEFINITE PRESERVING LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS OF RANK r

Let $T : S_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a linear transformation of rank r and $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_r\}$ is a basis of $\text{Im } T$. Suppose $T(E_{ii}) = \sum_{k=1}^r b_{ii}^k U_k$ and $T(E_{ij} + E_{ji}) = \sum_{k=1}^r (b_{ij}^k + b_{ji}^k) U_k$, $b_{ij}^k = b_{ji}^k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$; $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $B_k = (b_{ij}^k)_{n \times n}$, then for every $A \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$ we can verify

$$T(A) = \sum_{k=1}^r \text{tr}(AB_k) U_k.$$

Of course, a transformation of form (0.1) is linear. Moreover, if T is positive definite preserving, then U_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ can be chosen to be positive definite.

3.1. The case of rank one and two. By virtue of Lemma 2.2, the case of rank 1 is easy to prove.

Theorem 3.1. *A linear transformation T of rank 1 is positive definite preserving if and only if for every $A \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$, T has the form.*

$$T(A) = \text{tr}(AB)U, \quad (3.1)$$

where $U > 0$ and B is a non-zero positive semi-definite matrix.

In the rest of this subsection, we give the necessary and sufficient condition for the case T is of rank 2.

Consider a linear transformation of rank 2 on $S_n(\mathbb{R})$

$$T(A) = \text{tr}(AB_1)U_1 + \text{tr}(AB_2)U_2, \quad (3.2)$$

where U_1, U_2 are linear independent and positive definite. By virtue of Lemma 2.3, there exists an invertible matrix W such that $WU_1W^t = I_n$ and $WU_2W^t = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$; $\mu_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\mu_{\min} = \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n\}$ and $\mu_{\max} = \max\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n\}$, then we have

Theorem 3.2. *The linear transformation (3.2) is positive definite preserving if and only if $B_1 + \mu_{\min}B_2$ and $B_1 + \mu_{\max}B_2$ are non-zero and positive semi-definite.*

Proof. Consider the linear transformation $T_1(A) = WT(A)W^t$. It is easy to see that

$$T_1(A) = \text{tr}(AB_1)I_n + \text{tr}(AB_2) \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n),$$

and T is positive definite preserving if and only if T_1 is. Thus, we need only to prove the theorem for T_1 . First we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(AB_1)I_n + \text{tr}(AB_2) \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) > 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{tr}(AB_1) + \text{tr}(AB_2)\mu_i > 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{tr}(AB_1) + \text{tr}(AB_2)\mu_{\min} > 0, \text{tr}(AB_1) + \text{tr}(AB_2)\mu_{\max} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

But, the fact that (3.3) is equivalent to $B_1 + \mu_{\min}B_2 > 0$ and $B_1 + \mu_{\max}B_2 > 0$, is followed by Lemma 2.2. \square

3.2. The case of rank r . With a proof similar to the above, we have the following

Theorem 3.3. *Let T be the linear transformation of form (3.1), where U_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, U_r$ are linear independent and positive definite. Furthermore, suppose that there exists an invertible matrix W , such that $WU_kW^t = \text{diag}(\lambda_{1k}, \lambda_{2k}, \dots, \lambda_{nk})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, then T is positive definite preserving if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_{ik}B_k$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ are all non-zero and positive semi-definite.*

By virtue of Lemma 2.2, we have

Theorem 3.4. *Consider a linear transformation of form (0.1), where $U_k > 0$. If $B_k \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and are all non-zero, then T is positive definite pereserving.*

For a matrix $X \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$, denote by $\lambda_{\min}(X)$, $\lambda_{\max}(X)$ the smallest and largest eigenvalues of X , respectively while $\lambda_{m-m}(X)$ can be $\lambda_{\min}(X)$ or $\lambda_{\max}(X)$. The following is a sufficient condition for a linear transformation of form (0.1) to be positive definite preserving.

Theorem 3.5. *Let T be the linear transformation of form (0.1), where U_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, U_r$ are linear independent and positive definite. If $\sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_{m-m}(U_k)B_k$ are all non-zero and positive semi-definite, then T is positive definite preserving.*

Proof. By the assumption and Lemma 2.2, we have $\sum_{k=1}^r \text{tr}(AB_k)\lambda_{m-m}(U_k) > 0$ for any positive definite matrix A . But,

$$\lambda_{\min} \left[\sum_{k=1}^r \text{tr}(AB_k)U_k \right] \geq \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_{\min}[\text{tr}(AB_k)U_k] \quad (3.4)$$

$$\geq \min \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^r \text{tr}(AB_k)\lambda_{m-m}(U_k) \right\} > 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Cao, C. and Tang, X., *Determinant Preserving Transformations on Symmetric matrix Spaces*, Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, Vol.11, 205-211 (2004).
- [2] Horn, R. A. and Johnson, C. R., *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991).
- [3] Johnson, C., *Matrix Theory and Applications*, American Mathematical Society, 1990.
- [4] Li, C. K. and Tsing, N. K., *Linear preserver problems: a brief introduction and some special techniques*, Directions in matrix theory (Auburn, AL, 1990). Linear Algebra Appl. 162/164 217-235 (1992).
- [5] Li, C.-K. and Pierce, S., *Linear preserver problems*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 108: 591-605 (2001).
- [6] Loewy, R., *Linear maps which preserve a balanced nonsingular inertia class*, Linear Algebra Appl. 134:165-179 (1990).
- [7] Loewy, R., *Linear maps which preserve an inertia class*, Siam J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 11:107-112 (1990).
- [8] Loewy, R., *A survey of linear preserver problems-chapter 3: Inertia preservers*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 33: 2230 (1992).
- [9] Pierce, S. and Rodman, L., *Linear Preservers of the class of Hermitian matrices with balanced inertia*, Siam J. Matrix Anal. Appl. Vol. 9, No. 4, 461-472 (1988).
- [10] Huynh Dinh Tuan-Tran Thi Nha Trang-Doan The Hieu, *Positive definite preserving linear transformations on symmetric matrix spaces*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1008.1347>.

¹ HUE GEOMETRY GROUP, COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, HUE UNIVERSITY; 34 LE LOI, HUE, VIETNAM.

E-mail address: dthehieu@yahoo.com

² HUE GEOMETRY GROUP, COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, HUE UNIVERSITY; 34 LE LOI, HUE, VIETNAM.

E-mail address: galois31416@gmail.com